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Cross-linguistically, imperatives are often barred from co-occurring with sentential negation (Han, 2000, Isac, 2015).

1. a) Grapse (to)! Greek (Isac, 2015)
   write.perf.imp.2sg (it)
   “Write (it)!”
b) *Mi grapse (to)! Greek (Han, 2000)
   Neg write.perf.imp.2sg (it)
   “Don’t write (it)!”

In this presentation I will be examining the Labrador dialect of Inuktitut, where this ban holds. The mood used for expressing wishes and commands, the optative/imperative, is incompatible with the negation marker found in declarative sentences (henceforth NEG1).

2. a) Participial Labrador (Smith, 1977)
taku-ja-ga
see-part-1s/3s
“I see him.”
b) Negative participial Labrador (Smith, 1977)
taku-nngi-ta-ga
see-NEG1-part-1s/3s
“I don’t see him.”
c) Imperative
ikaju- git
help- 2s
“Go help!”
b) *Negative imperative
*ikaju-nngi-git
help-NEG1-2s
“Don’t help!”

Instead, a negative command is expressed by substitution of another form, the negative conjunctive (henceforth NEG2), which appears in mood position:

1 Thank you to Katie Winters, who was consulted for Labrador data not otherwise cited.
3. a) nigi-kKu-nia-nna-nga
   eat-prog?-near.fut-NEG₂-1s
   “Don’t let me eat.”

b) taku-nia-nnak
   see-near.fut-NEG₂-2s
   “Don’t look”

However, this restriction does not appear in the presence of a politeness marker -lauq. When this morpheme is present, NEG1 is allowed to appear:

4. sini-luatla-ngi-lau-git
   sleep-too.much-NEG₁-please-2s
   “Don’t let me oversleep.”

The puzzle raised by these forms is how to explain the asymmetry in which forms can take NEG1 and which must be replaced with NEG2, and what properties of the forms with -lauq cause them to be exceptions to this asymmetry.

I propose that NEG1 is parasitic on a tense projection, which the optative/imperative and conjunctive moods crucially lack, while NEG2 is modal. This follows Zanuttini’s (1991) analysis of negation in Romance languages, as well as Johns & Smallwood’s (1993) analysis of the Inuktitut conjunctive as having defective T. The politeness morpheme -lauq is actually the homophonous past tense morpheme -lauq, which merges in T and raises to an intermediate projection. The presence of a TP allows NEG1 to appear in these forms. This analysis has implications for the analysis of tense in Inuktitut, as well as negative imperatives.
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